# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# THE QUADRATIC DIFFERENTIAL TRIADS VIA SHEAVES OF SETS AND CATEGORIES

\*1Chiteng, B. K., 2Phiri, P. A., 3Kabwita, P.M., 3N'gandwe K. Mumba and 4Tossa, J.

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Informatic, University of Lubumbashi, Lubumbashi, D.R.Congo
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Copperbelt University, Kitwe, Zambia
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Copperbelt University, Kitwe, Zambia
- <sup>4</sup> Institut de Mathematiques et de Sciences Physiques, Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Accepted 21st May, 2017; Published Online 30th June, 2017

# **ABSTRACT**

The determination of quadratic forms throughout sheaves  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega$ , and functorial morphisms, play an important role in the construction of some categories of quadratic differential triads over X,  $id_X$ ,  $id_{X \times id_X}$ , ... In this paper, we generalize these notions so that we obtain categories of quadratic differential triads over the categories  $Open_X$  and TOP, respectively. We also treat the notions of quadratic integral triads. The cohomology, homology and resolution notions are introduced with some applications in Electromagnetism.

Key words: Quadratic differential triads, morphism of quadratic differential triads, categories of quadratic differential triads, quadratic integral triads and category of quadratic integral triads

# INTRODUCTION

The sheaves and presheaves are treated over fixed topological spaces and their extensions are treated over fixed categories of topological spaces. We associate to a sheaf or a presheaf a quadratic form associated to a bilinear form or generally to an hermitian form. We use the complete presheaf to identify it, with the help of isomorphism, to a sheaf. The association of quadratic forms  $q_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  and  $q_{\Omega}: \Omega \to \mathcal{A}$  of sheaves  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega$ , respectively, transforms a differential triad  $dT_X = (\mathcal{A}_X, q_X)$  over  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  to a differential triad  $qdT_X = ((\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X}), d_X, (\Omega_X, q_{\Omega_X}))$  called a quadratic differential triad over  $(X, (\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X}))$ . The composition law and the identity morphism play an important role in the construction of categories  $QDT_X$ ,  $QDT_{Id_X}$ ,  $QDT_{Hom(X,Y)}$ ,  $QDT_{Open_X}$  and  $QDT_{TOP}$  of quadratic differential triads. From the reciprocal relation of the differential map d, said  $\int$ , we define the quadratic integral triad and consequently the category of integral triad.

**Definitions 1.1** Let X be a fixed topological space. A *sheaf of sets* over X, is defined as the triplet

such that,  $s: S \to X$  is a surjective (local) homeomorphism as treated in [11].

A presheaf P of sets on X is an assignment (correspondence) that associates a set P(U) to every open subset U of X, where the following conditions are satisfied [11]:

- For any open sets U, V of X, with  $V \subseteq U$ , there exists a restriction map  $\delta_V^U : P(U) \to P(V)$
- For every open set U of X,  $\delta_U^U = id_{F(U)}$ .
- For any open sets U, V, W in X, with  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ ,  $\delta_W^U = \delta_W^V$  o  $\delta_V^U$

If S is a sheaf on a topological space X, then  $S(U) \equiv \Gamma(U; S)$  stands for the set of local sections of S on U and we set  $(S(U); \delta_V^U) \equiv (\Gamma(U; S); \delta_V^U)$  to be the *presheaf of sections of S*, where  $\delta_V^U$  is the restriction map.

Let  $\Gamma_S \equiv \Gamma(S) = (S(U); \sigma_V^U)$  be a presheaf of sets on a topological space X. Then,  $\Gamma_S$  is a *complete presheaf* if the following conditions are satisfied [11] and [25]:

Department of Mathematics and Informatic, University of Lubumbashi, Lubumbashi, D.R.Congo

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Chiteng, B. K.,

- If *U* is an open subset of *X* and  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$  is an open covering of *U*; let  $s_1$ ,  $s_2 \in S(U)$  such that  $\sigma_{Ui}^U(s_1) = \sigma_{Ui}^U(s_2)$ , for every  $i \in I$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$  (the converse is certainly true).
- Let U and  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$  be as defined in (1); moreover let  $(s_i) \in \prod_i S(Ui)$  so that, for any  $U_{ij} \equiv U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ ; in  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$ , one has:  $\sigma^{Ui}_{Uij}(s_i) = \sigma^{Uj}_{Uij}(s_j)$

Then, there exists an element  $s \in S(U)$  such that  $\sigma_{Ui}^U(s) = s_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ .

# Remarks 1.2

For any  $x \in X$ , one has

$$s^{-1}(x) := S_x$$
 [1.2]

 $S_x$  is a fiber of S over x or a stalk of S at x

We set

If  $S_x$  is a Group (Ring, vector space, module, algebra,...), then (S, s, X) is a sheaf of Groups (Rings, vector spaces, modules, algebras,...) over X and for each case we have :

$$S_x = \underset{x \in U}{\underline{lim}} S(U) \equiv \underset{x \in X}{\underline{lim}} S(U), \text{ with } x \in U \subseteq X,$$

where  $\lim_{x \in X}$  represents the *inductive limit*.

**Notation 1.3** We denote a sheaf of sets over X and the associate presheaf of sections of S, respectively by

$$S_X = (S, s, X) \text{ and } \Gamma_S \equiv \Gamma(S) = (S(U); \delta_V^U)$$
 .....[1.4]

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, a, X)$  be a sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -algebras (or in other words, a  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -algebra sheaf), which is preferably unital and commutative, with  $\mathbb{C}_X$  the sheaf of complex numbers over X. We design by  $\mathcal{A}^+_X$  and  $\mathcal{A}^-_X$  the sub sheaves of  $\mathcal{A}_X$  formed by positive elements and negative elements, respectively. Thus:

$$\mathcal{A}^{+}_{X} \cap \mathcal{A}^{-}_{X} = \{0\}_{X}, \ \mathcal{A}^{+}_{X} \cup \mathcal{A}^{-}_{X} = \mathcal{A}_{X}$$
 .....[1.5]

**Definitions 1.4** The Sheaf  $S_X = (S, s, X)$  is a vector sheaf, if, for any open  $U \subseteq X$ , we have

The presheaf  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}(U); a_V^U)$  is a vector presheaf, if, for any open  $U \subseteq X$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{S} = (S(U); \delta_{V}^{U}) \cong (\mathcal{A}(U); a_{V}^{U})^{n} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}^{n}$$
.....[1.7]

**Definitions 1.5** Let (S, s, X) and  $(\Sigma, \sigma, X)$  be two sheaves of sets over X. A morphism  $\varphi$  of sheaves (or simply, a sheaf morphism) from (S, s, X) to  $(\Sigma, \sigma, X)$  is a continuous map:

 $\varphi: S \rightarrow \Sigma$ 

such that

$$\varphi(S) \subseteq \Sigma, \quad \sigma \circ \varphi = s.$$
 [1.8]

Let  $\Gamma_S \equiv (S(U); \delta_V^U)$  and  $\Gamma_{\Sigma} \equiv (\Sigma(U); \sigma_V^U)$  be two presheaves of sections of S and  $\Sigma$ , respectively.

A morphism of presheaves (or simply, a presheaf morphism) from  $\Gamma_S \equiv (S(U); \delta_V^U)$  to  $\Gamma_\Sigma \equiv (\Sigma(U); \sigma_V^U)$  is defined as a continuous map

$$\Gamma_{\varphi} \equiv \Gamma(\varphi) : \Gamma_{S} \equiv \Gamma(S) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma \Sigma) \equiv \Gamma_{\Sigma}$$
 .....[1.9]

such that

$$\varphi_U(S(U)) \subseteq \Sigma(U)$$
 and  $\varphi_V \circ \delta_V^U = \sigma_V^U \circ \varphi_U$  .....[1.10]

We design by  $Sh_X$ ,  $PSh_X$  and  $CoPSh_X$  the categories of sheaves, presheaves and complete presheaves, respectively. The functor  $\Gamma: Sh_X \to CoPSh_X \subseteq PSh_X$ ,  $S \to \Gamma_S$  is an isomorphism called the *section functor* and by isomorphism between  $Sh_X$  and  $CoPSh_X$ , we define a functor  $S: CoPSh_X \to Sh_X$ , with  $S \circ \Gamma \equiv S\Gamma = id_{Sh_X}$  called the *she afification functor* and satisfying the relation:

$$S\Gamma(\Sigma) \equiv S(\Gamma(\Sigma) = \Sigma, \text{ for any } \Sigma \in Sh_X.$$

**Definition 1.6** Let X be a fixed topological space. A *sheaf* of categories over X, is defined as a triplet (C, c, X) such that,  $c: C \to X$  is a surjective (local) homeomorphism ([6], [9], [12]). A *presheaf* P of categories on X is an assignment (correspondence) that associates a category of sets P(U) to every open subset U of X, where the following conditions are satisfied:

- For any open sets U, V of X, with  $V \subseteq U$ , there exists a restriction functor  $\delta_V^U : P(U) \to P(V)$ , with  $\delta_V^U : Ob(P(U) \to Ob(P(V))$  and  $\delta_V^U : Mor(P(U) \to Mor(P(V)))$
- For every open set U of X,  $\delta_U^U = id_{P(U)}$ .
- For any open sets U, V, W in X, with  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ ,  $\delta_W^U = \delta_W^V$  o  $\delta_V^U$

If S is a sheaf of categories on a topological space X, then:

$$S(U) \equiv \Gamma(U; S)$$
,

with  $Ob(S(U)) \equiv Ob(\Gamma(U; S))$  and  $Mor(S(U)) \equiv Mor(\Gamma(U; S))$ , stands for the category of sets of local sections of S on U, and we set  $(S(U); \delta_V^U) \equiv (\Gamma(U; S); \delta_V^U)$ , to be a category presheaf of sections of S, with  $Ob((S(U); \delta_V^U)) \equiv Ob((\Gamma(U; S); \delta_V^U))$  and  $Mor((S(U); \delta_V^U)) \equiv Mor((\Gamma(U; S); \delta_V^U))$ , where  $\delta_V^U$  is the restriction functor.

Let  $\Gamma_P \equiv \Gamma(P) = (P(U); \sigma_V^U)$  be a presheaf (of categories) on a topological space X. Then, P is a *complete presheaf* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If *U* is an open subset of *X* and  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$  is an open covering of *U*; let  $s_1$ ,  $s_2 \in P(U)$  such that  $\sigma_{Ui}^U i(s_1) = \sigma_{Ui}^U (s_2)$ , for every  $i \in I$ , then  $s_1 = s_2$  (the converse is certainly true);
- (2) Let U and  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$  be as in (1); moreover let  $(s_i) \in \prod_i P(U_i)$  such that, for any  $U_{ij} \equiv U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ ; in  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$ , we have:  $\sigma_{Uij}^{Ui}(s_i) = \sigma_{Uij}^{Uj}(s_j)$

Then, there exists an element  $s \in F(U)$  such that  $\sigma_{Ui}^U(s) = s_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ .

**Remark 1.7** For any  $x \in X$ , we have:

$$c^{-1}(x) := C_x \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$C:=\bigcup_{x\in X}C_x\equiv\sum_{x\in X}C_x$$
 .....[1.12]

Also, if  $C_x$  is a category of sets (topological spaces, commutative groups, modules, algebras...), then (C, c, X) is a sheaf of categories of sets (topological spaces, commutative groups, modules, algebras...). Thus, as  $C_x$  is a category, then we form the following sets said  $Ob(C_x)$  and  $Mor(C_x)$  such that:

$$Ob(C) = \sum_{x \in X} (Ob(C_x), Mor(C) = \sum_{x \in X} (Mor(C_x))$$
 .....[1.13]

From presheaves theory, we set:  $Ob(\Gamma_P) = Ob((P(U); \sigma_V^U)), Mor(\Gamma_P) = Mor((P(U); \sigma_V^U))$ 

**Definition 1.8** Let (C, c, X) and (D, D, X) be two sheaves of categories over X. A morphism of sheaves (or simply, a sheaf morphism) from (C, c, X) to (D, D, X) is a continuous functor  $: C \to D$  such that  $F(C) \subseteq D$ 

with:

Let  $\Gamma_C \equiv \Gamma(C) = (C(U); c_V^U)$  and  $\Gamma_D \equiv \Gamma(D) = (D(U); D_V^U)$  be too presheaves of categories on X. A morphism of presheaves (or simply, a presheaf morphism) from  $\Gamma_C \equiv \Gamma(C) = (C(U); c_V^U)$  to  $\Gamma_D \equiv \Gamma(D) = (D(U); D_V^U)$  is a continuous functor  $\Gamma_F : \Gamma_C \to \Gamma_D$  such that

$$D_{V}^{U} \circ F_{U} = F_{V} \circ C_{V}^{U}$$
 .....[1.15]

where  $F_U$ :  $C(U) \rightarrow D(U)$  and  $F_V$ :  $C(V) \rightarrow D(V)$  are morphisms in the category of sets.

We observe in [1.14] and [1.15] that there are compositions of a morphism p with a functor p to obtain a morphism p; i.e., that represents the composition of mathematical objects of different natures. This remains an open problem which needs a special attention. To address this question, we suggest to replace the topological space p by the category of opens of p, denoted p or in general by the category, denoted p of topological spaces.

In the following, the correspondence from complete presheaves to sheaves and vice versa is possible through the sheafification functor  $S: CoPSh_X \to Sh_X$  or the section functor  $\Gamma: Sh_X \to CoPSh_X \subseteq PSh_X$ , with  $So\ \Gamma \equiv S\Gamma = id_{Sh_X}$ . With these regards, all sheaves are considered to be generated by complete presheaves.

#### II. Quadratic Differential triads

Some notions of this section are treated classically in [1] [3] [5] [7] [8] [10] [16-18] [26] [27] and in Abstract Algebra in [12-13], [25].

**Definitions 2.1** Let  $E_X$  be a free  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module. A map  $b_X$ :  $E_X \oplus E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -bilinear form, if there exist, for any s,  $t \in E_U \equiv E(U)$ , with  $U \subseteq X$  open, two  $\mathcal{A}_U$ -linear forms  $b_{s,U}$ :  $E_U \to \mathcal{A}_U$ ,  $t \to b_{s,U}(t)$  and  $b_{t,U}$ :  $E_U \to \mathcal{A}_U$ ,  $t \to b_{t,U}(s)$  such that

$$b_{II}(s,t)=b_{s,II}(t)=b_{t,II}(s),$$
 .....[2.1]

where  $b_U: E_U \oplus E_U \to \mathcal{A}_U$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_U$ -bilinear form which satisfies the following

$$a_V^U \circ b_U = b_V \circ (e_V^U \times e_V^U)$$
, with  $V \subseteq U$  open.  $(2.2]$ 

**Definition 2.2** Let  $E_X$  be a free  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module (or a vector sheaf). A sheaf morphism  $q_{E_X}: E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -quadratic form associated to an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -bilinear form  $b_X$  *iff*:

$$q_{E_U}(s) \equiv q_{E,U}(s) = b_U(s,s)$$
, .....[2.3]

for any  $s \in E_U \equiv E(U)$ , with  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $b_U : E_U \to \mathcal{A}_U$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_U$ -quadratic form which satisfies the following:

$$a_V^U \circ q_{E_U} = q_{E_V} \circ e_V^U$$
, with  $V \subseteq U$  open .....[2.4]

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $\Gamma(E_X)$  be a complete presheaf, where  $E_X$  is a free  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -module of rank n. For every open U in X, let B(U) be the set consisting of all the bases of E(U). If, for every U, V open in X with  $V \subseteq U$ , we have the restriction map  $b_V^U : B(U) \to B(V)$ , then the set  $\Gamma(B_X) = (B(U), b_V^U)$ , where  $b_V^U = e_V^U/B_{U(U)}$ , is a complete presheaf.

# Proof

- (1). If U is an open subset of X and  $(Ui)_{i\in I}$  is an open covering of U; let  $s_I$ ,  $s_2 \in E(U)$  such that  $e_{Ui}^U(s_I) = e_{Ui}^U(s_2)$ , for every  $i\in I$ . Suppose that  $s_I$ ,  $s_2 \in B(U)$  and  $b_{Ui}^U(s_I) = b_{Ui}^U(s_2)$ . Then,  $b_{Ui}^U(s_I) = b_{Ui}^U(s_2)$ , implies that  $(e_{Ui}^U/_{B(U)}(s_I) = (e_{Ui}^U/_{B(U)}(s_2); i.e.s_I = s_2)$  (the converse is certainly true).
- (2). Let U and  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$  be as in (1); moreover let  $(s_i) \in \prod_i B(Ui)$  such that, for any  $U_{ij} \equiv U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , in  $(Ui)_{i \in I}$ , we have:  $b_{Uij}^{Ui}(si) = b_{Uij}^{Uj}(sj)$  which implies that  $(e_{Uij}^{Ui}/_{B(Ui)}(si) = (e_{Uij}^{Uj}/_{B(Uj)}(sj))$ .

Then, there exists an element  $s \in B(U)$  such that  $b_{Ui}^U(s) = s_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ .

Hence shown that  $\Gamma(B_X)$  is a complete presheaf.

**Remark 2.4** Let  $E_X$  be a free  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module (or a vector sheaf). If  $q_{E_X}: E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -quadratic form associated to a symmetric  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -bilinear form  $b_X: E_X \oplus E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$ , then we have:

$$q_{E,II}(s)q_{E,II}(t) + q_{E,II}(t)q_{E,II}(s) = 2b_{II}(s,t),$$
 [2.5]

where s,  $t \in E_U \equiv E(U)$ , with  $U \subseteq X$  open.

**Definition 2.5** Let  $E_X$  be a free  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -module (or a vector sheaf). If  $q_{E_X}: E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -quadratic form associated to an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -bilinear form  $b_X: E_X \oplus E_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$ , then the pair:

is called an  $A_X$  -quadratic space.

**Definition 2.6** Let X be a topological space,  $\Omega_X$  be a sheaf of (differential)  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -modules over X,  $d_X$  be a derivative map as the  $\mathbb{K}_X$  -sheaf morphism which is also  $\mathbb{K}_X$ -linear, where  $\mathbb{K}_X = (\mathbb{R}_X \text{ or } \mathbb{C}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_X$  be a sheaf of unital  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras over X, with  $\mathbb{R} \equiv (\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $\mathbb{C} \equiv (\mathbb{C}, \varphi, X)$  be respectively the sheaf of real numbers and the sheaf of complex numbers.

We define the triplet as treated in [12], and [14]:

$$(\mathcal{A}_{X}, d_{X}, \Omega_{X}) \qquad \qquad \dots [2.7]$$

as the differential triad relative to  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  iff, for every U, V open in X with  $V \subseteq U$ , the Leibniz (product) rule:

$$d_{U}(A.B) = A.d_{U}(B) + B.d_{U}(A)$$
 (2.8)

is satisfied, with A,  $B \in \mathcal{A}_U \equiv \mathcal{A}(U)$  and  $d_U \colon \mathcal{A}_U \equiv \mathcal{A}(U) \to \Omega_U \equiv \Omega(U)$ , be continuous and

 $\mathbb{K}_U$ -linear, and

$$w_V^U \circ d_U = d_V \circ a_V^U$$
 .....[2.9]

where  $a_V^U: \mathcal{A}(U) \to \mathcal{A}(V)$  and  $w_V^U: \Omega(U) \to \Omega(V)$  are restriction maps.

We set the differential triad as:

$$dT_X = (\mathcal{A}_X \mid d_X , \Omega_X). \tag{2.10}$$

**Definition 2.7** Let  $dT_X$  be a differential triad. If  $(\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X})$  and  $(\Omega_X, q_{\Omega_X})$  are two  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -quadratic spaces, then  $((\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X}), d_X, (\Omega_X, q_{\Omega_X}))$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$ -quadratic differential triad if, for any  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -quadratic form  $q_{\Omega_X} : \Omega_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$ , there exists an endomorphism  $q_{\mathcal{A}_X} : \mathcal{A}_X \to \mathcal{A}_X$  such that, for every U, V open in X with  $V \subseteq U$ , we have

$$q_{\Omega_U} \circ d_U = q_{A_U}$$
,  $a_V^U \circ q_{A_U} = q_{A_V} \circ a_V^U$  and  $a_V^U \circ q_{\Omega_U} = q_{\Omega_V} \circ w_V^U$  .....[2.11]

**Illustration 2.8** Let  $\mathcal{A}_X = C_X^{\infty}$  and  $\Omega_X^1$  be, respectively, the sets of differential 0-forms and differential 1-forms over the smooth manifold X. If  $(\mathcal{A}_X, d_X, \Omega_X^1)$  is a differential triad on X, and  $q_{\Omega_X^1} =: \Omega_X^1 \to \mathcal{A}_X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_X$  -quadratic form such that, for every U open in X and X0 we have

 $q_{\Omega_U^1}(w) = w^2$ . Then, for any  $a \equiv a(\alpha) = k\alpha$ , with  $k \neq 0$  and k,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_U$ , there exists a map

$$q_{\mathcal{A}_{II}}: \mathcal{A}_{II} = C_{II}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{A}_{II} = C_{II}^{\infty}, a \to q_{\mathcal{A}_{II}}(a) = k^2 d\alpha^2$$

After calculations, we find that:

$$q_{\mathcal{A}_{II}}(a) \equiv q_{\mathcal{A}_{II}}(a(\alpha)) = (q_{\Omega_{II}} \circ d_{U}) (a(\alpha)) = k^{2}d\alpha^{2}.$$

Note that the map  $a: S_U \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{U\mathbb{K}_U} \equiv (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}})_U \to \mathcal{A}_U \equiv C_U^{\infty}$  is such that  $a(\alpha) = k\alpha$ , for any  $\alpha \in S_U$  and  $k \in \mathbb{K}_U^*$ , where  $S_U$  is a subset of the underlying of  $\mathbb{K}_U$  in  $\mathcal{A}_U$  denoted by  $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}})_U$ .

**Remark 2.9** According to the Definition 2.7 and the illustration 2.8, it follows that  $q_{C_X^{\infty}}$  is a differential quadratic form. Thus, we use:

$$qdT_X = ((C_X^{\infty}, q_{C_X^{\infty}}), d_X, (\Omega_X, q_{\Omega_X}))$$
 (2.12]

as the quadratic differential triad relative to  $(X, C_X^{\infty})$ .

**Definitions 2.10** Let  $dT_{iX}$  and  $dT_{jX}$  be two quadratic differential triads relative to  $(X, \mathcal{A}_{iX})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{A}_{jX})$ , respectively, with i, j = 1, 2, ... A morphism of differential triads from  $dT_{iX}$  to  $qdT_{jX}$  is a triplet

$$(h_{\mathcal{A}_{Y}}^{ij}, d_{X}^{ij}, h_{\Omega_{X}}^{ij})$$
 .....[2.13]

such that:

$$d_X^{ij}(h_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}) \equiv S\Gamma(d_X^{ij})(h_{S\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_X)}^{ij}) = h_{S\Gamma(\Omega_X)}^{ij} \equiv h_{\Omega_X}^{ij} \qquad (2.14)$$

and it satisfies the Leibniz (product) rule, given in [2.8] and [2.9], where  $h_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij} \in H_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij} = Hom_{\mathbb{K}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{iX}, \mathcal{A}_{jX})$  and  $h_{\Omega_X}^{ij} \in H_{\Omega_X}^{ij} = Hom_{\mathcal{K}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{iX}, \mathcal{A}_{jX})$  $Hom_{\mathcal{A}_X}(\Omega_{iX}, \Omega_{jX}).$ 

For every U; V open in X with  $V \subseteq U$ , we have:  $W_V^U \circ d_{II}^{ij} = d_V^{ij} \circ A_V^U$ 

where  $A_V^U: H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}(U) \to H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}(V)$  and  $W_V^U: H_0^{ij}(U) \to H_0^{ij}(V)$  are restriction maps.

We have :  $-h_{\mathcal{A}_U}^{ij}(1_{\mathcal{A}_{iU}}) = 1_{\mathcal{A}_{jU}}$ ,

if  $1_{A_{iU}}$  and  $1_{A_{iU}}$  are the units of  $A_{iU}$  and  $A_{jU}$ , respectively, with U open in X;

$$-h_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}(1_x)=1_x=\underbrace{\lim_{x\in X}1_{\mathcal{A}_j}(U)}\equiv\underbrace{\lim_{x\in X}1_{\mathcal{A}_{jU}}}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} -\ h_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}(1_x) = 1_x = \underbrace{\lim_{x \in X}} 1_{\mathcal{A}_j}(U) \equiv \underbrace{\lim_{x \in X}} 1_{\mathcal{A}_{jU}} \ , \\ \text{if} \ 1_x \ \text{denotes the unit of both} \ \mathcal{A}_{iX} \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{A}_{jX}, \ \text{for all} \ x \in U \subseteq X; \end{array}$ 

$$-h_{\Omega_X}^{ij}(a_i \cdot \omega_i) = h_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}(a_i) \cdot h_{\Omega_X}^{ij}(\omega_i), \text{ for any } (a_i, \omega_i) \in \mathcal{A}_{iX} \times \Omega_{iX}.$$

**Notation 2.11** We denote the category of differential triads over *X* by:

$$DiffT_X$$
 .....[2.15]

where  $DiffT_X \equiv (DiffT, \tau, X)$  and  $\Gamma(DiffT) \equiv (DiffT(U), \tau_V^U)$  are, respectively, sheaf and presheaf of categories of differential triads over X, with U, V opens in X.

Let  $Alg_X$  be the category of  $\mathbb{K}_X$ -algebras over X,  $Diff_X$  be the set of differentials given in [2.7] and [2.13], all, over X and  $Diff F_X$  be the category of differential form over X. We set:

$$DiffT_X = (Alg_X, Diff_X, DiffF_X)$$
 [2.16]

**Definition 2.12** Let  $qdT_{iX}$  and  $qdT_{jX}$  be two quadratic differential triads relative to  $(X, \mathcal{A}_{iX})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{A}_{jX})$ , respectively, with i, j = 1, 2, ... A morphism of quadratic differential triads from  $qdT_{iX}$  to  $qdT_{jX}$  is a triplet:

$$(h_{\mathcal{A}_{Y}}^{ij}, d_{X}^{ij}, h_{\Omega_{Y}}^{ij}),$$
 .....[2.17]

such that [2.13], [2.14] and all properties of Definition 2.10 are satisfied. Also, we have

$$h'^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_{X}} o \quad q_{\mathcal{A}_{iX}} = h^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_{Y}}, \quad d_{ijX} \quad o \quad q_{\Omega_{iX}} = h^{ij}_{\Omega_{Y}}, \quad q_{\Omega_{iX}} \quad o \quad d_{iX} = q_{\mathcal{A}_{iX}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{jX} \quad o \quad h'^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_{X}} = d_{ijX}, \quad \dots$$
 [2.18]

 $\text{with } h'^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_X}, \, h^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_X} \, \in \, H^{ij}_{\mathcal{A}_X} \, , \, h^{ij}_{\Omega_X} \in H^{ij}_{\Omega_X}, \, \, q_{\mathcal{A}_{iX}} \in End(\mathcal{A}_{iX}), \, q_{\Omega_{iX}} \in \, Hom_{\mathbb{K}_X}(\Omega_{iX} \, , \, \mathcal{A}_{iX}),$ 

 $d_{iX} \in \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{iX}, \Omega_{iX}), \quad d_{jX} \in \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{jX}, \Omega_{jX}) \text{ and } d_{ijX} \in \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}_X}(\mathcal{A}_{iX}, \Omega_{jX}),$ 

where  $d_{iX}$ ,  $d_{jX}$ ,  $d_{ijX}$  satisfy the Leibniz (product) rule,  $q_{\mathcal{A}_{iX}}$  and  $q_{\Omega_{iX}}$  are two quadratic forms and  $h_{\mathcal{A}_{X}}^{ij}$ ,  $h_{\Omega_{X}}^{ij}$  are continuous maps.

We observe that the triplet:  $((H_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}, q_{H_{\mathcal{A}_X}^{ij}}), d_X^{ij}, (H_{\Omega_X}^{ij}, q_{H_{\Omega_X}^{ij}}))$ 

is a quadratic differential triad. For every U, V opens in X with  $V \subseteq U$ , we have:

 $W_{v}^{U} \circ d_{v}^{ij} = d_{v}^{ij} \circ A_{v}^{U}$ 

where  $A_V^U:H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}\left(U\right)\to H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}\left(V\right)$  and  $W_V^U:H_{\Omega}^{ij}\left(U\right)\to H_{\Omega}^{ij}\left(V\right)$  are restriction maps.

**Notation 2.13** We denote the category of quadratic differential triads over *X* by:

$$QDiffT_X$$
 .....[2.19]

where  $QDiffT_X \equiv (QDiffT, \tau, X)$  and  $\Gamma(QDiffT) \equiv (QDiffT(U), \tau_V^U)$  are, respectively, sheaf and presheaf of categories of quadratic differential triads over X, with U, V open in X.

Let  $QAlg_X$  be the category of quadratic  $\mathbb{K}_X$ -algebra spaces over X, Dif  $f_X$  be the set of differentials given in [2.7] and [2.13], all, over X and  $QDiff F_X$  be the category of quadratic differential forms over X. We set:

$QDiffT_X = (QAlg_X, Diff_X, QDiffF_X).$	[2.20]
$\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\} + \{\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{x}\}\}$	[=:=*]

#### Remarks 2.14

We can replace the topological space X by, respectively, the topological spaces  $\mathrm{id}_X$  and Hom(X,Y) to construct, respectively, the categories of quadratic differential triads over  $\mathrm{id}_X$  and Hom(X,Y), respectively, denoted by:

$$QDiffT_{id_X}$$
 and  $QDiffT_{Hom(X,Y)}$  .....[2.21]

From sheaves over categories, we can replace the topological space X, respectively, by the categories  $Open_X$  and TOP to construct, respectively, the categories of quadratic differential triads over  $Open_X$  and TOP, denoted:

$$QDiffT_{Open_X}$$
 and  $QDiffT_{TOP}$  .....[2.22]

The categories  $QDiffT_{id_X}$ ,  $QDiffT_{Hom(X,Y)}$ , and  $QDiffT_{Open_X}$  are subcategories of the category

$$QDiffT_{TOP} \equiv QDiffT \qquad \qquad [2.23]$$

of quadratic differential triads over the category TOP of all topological spaces.

Let  $(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}), \Gamma(d), \Gamma(\Omega))$  and  $((h_{\Gamma(\mathcal{A})}^{ij}), \Gamma(d^{ij}), (h_{\Gamma(\Omega)}^{ij}))$  be, respectively, the complete presheaf and the complete presheaf morphism differential triads. Consider an operator Q, defined as follows:

$$Q(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}), \Gamma(d), \Gamma(\Omega)) = ((\Gamma(\mathcal{A}), q_{\Gamma(\mathcal{A})}), \Gamma(d), (\Gamma(\Omega), q_{\Gamma(\Omega)}))$$

$$([2.24]$$

and:

$$Q(\Gamma(h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}), \Gamma(d^{ij}), \Gamma(h_{\Omega}^{ij})) = (h_{\Gamma(\mathcal{A})}^{ij}, \Gamma(d^{ij}), h_{\Gamma(\Omega)}^{ij}), \qquad [2.25]$$

where  $q_{\Gamma(A)}$  and  $q_{\Gamma(\Omega)}$  satisfy the following condition :

$$q_{\Gamma(\Omega)} \circ \Gamma(d) = q_{\Gamma(A)}$$
 .....[2.26]

From the definition of the sheafification functor *S*, we have:

$$Q(S\Gamma(\mathcal{A}),\,S\Gamma(d),\,S\Gamma(\Omega))=((\,\mathcal{A},\,q_{\mathcal{A}}),\,d,\,(\Omega,\,q_{\Omega}))\;,\quad Q(S\Gamma(h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}),\,S\Gamma(d^{ij}),\,S\Gamma(h_{\Omega}^{ij}))=(h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij},\,\,d^{\,ij},\,\,h_{\Omega}^{ij}).$$

We set:

$$Q(\mathcal{A}, d, \Omega) = (((\mathcal{A}, q_{\mathcal{A}}), d, (\Omega, q_{\Omega})), Q(h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}, d^{ij}, h_{\Omega}^{ij}) = (h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}, d^{ij}, h_{\Omega}^{ij})$$
 (2.27)

where  $q_A$  and  $q_\Omega$  satisfy the condition :

$$q_0 \ o \ d = q_A$$
 .....[2.28]

Here the question is: what kind of pairs  $(q_A, q_\Omega)$  can satisfy the relation (2.28)?

We know that through the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \Omega)$ , we can define several pairs of quadratic spaces  $((\mathcal{A} = S\Gamma(\mathcal{A}), q^i_{S\Gamma(\mathcal{A})}), (\Omega = S\Gamma(\Omega), q^i_{S\Gamma(\Omega)})$ , where i = 1, 2, 3, ... To answer this question, we have to fix a (differential)  $\mathcal{A}$  -quadratic form  $q_{\Omega}: \Omega \to \mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$\int \vec{q}_0 = \hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}}$$
 .....[2.29]

where the symbol  $\int q_{\Omega}$  designs the "integral" of the differential form  $q_{\Omega}$ , where  $\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the primitive function of  $q_{\Omega}$ . We have:

$$\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}} = q_{\mathcal{A}} + k$$
, with  $k \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$  ......[2.30]

Note that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$  designs the underlying of  $\mathbb{K}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and we realize an equivalence relation,  $\sim$ , defined in  $End_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}) \equiv Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  as follows:

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}^{i} \sim q_{\mathcal{A}}^{j} \quad iff \quad q_{\mathcal{A}}^{j} - q_{\mathcal{A}}^{i} = k, \text{ with } k \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$$
 (2.31)

Referring to the above concern, the (differential)  $\mathcal{A}$  -quadratic form  $q_{\Omega}: \Omega \to \mathcal{A}$  that is defined, leads us to choose a subcategory  $\dot{Q}DiffT$  of QDiffT whose objects

$$((\mathcal{A}, \hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}}), d, (\Omega, \dot{q}_{0}))$$
 .....[2.32]

satisfy the relations [2.28] [2.29] and [2.30], for any pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \Omega)$ .

**Definition 2.16** Let us denote by  $\hat{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\dot{Q}_{\Omega}$  be the set of differential quadratic forms  $\dot{q}_{\Omega}: \Omega \to \mathcal{A}$ ,... and the set of quadratic forms  $\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ , ..., respectively which satisfy [2.28],[2.29] and[2.30].

We define the triplet:

$$(\hat{Q}_0, f, \hat{Q}_A)$$
 .....[2.33]

where  $\int: \dot{Q_{\Omega}} \to \dot{Q_{A}}$ ,  $\dot{q_{\Omega}} \to \int \dot{q_{\Omega}} = \hat{q}_{A}$  is continuous and satisfies [2.30]. We say that the triplet [2.33] is *the quadratic integral triad* over  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if, for any  $x \in U$ , with U open in X, we have

$$\int_{x} = \lim_{x \in U} \int_{U} = \lim_{x \in U} (d_{U})^{-1} = (d_{x})^{-1} , \qquad [2.34]$$

where d satisfies [2.8] [2.9] and [2.10]. We set:

$$QIT = (\dot{Q}_{\Omega}, f, \hat{Q}_{cA}) = (S\Gamma(\dot{Q}_{\Omega}), S\Gamma(f), S\Gamma(\hat{Q}_{cA})) \equiv S\Gamma(\dot{Q}_{\Omega}, f, \hat{Q}_{cA}) = S\Gamma(QIT)$$

$$(2.35)$$

The above expression represents the *category of quadratic integral triads*.

**Theorem 2.17** Let  $M \in \mathcal{A}^{n \times m}$  be a matrix,  $\Omega = \mathcal{A}^{1 \times m} / \mathcal{A}^{1 \times m} M$  be the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module finitely represented by M and  $p : \mathcal{A}^{1 \times m} M$  be the canonical projection onto  $\Omega$ . If, for any open U in X,  $\{a_i\}$  is the standard  $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -basis of  $\mathcal{A}^{1 \times m}(U) \equiv \mathcal{A}(U)^{1 \times m}$ ,  $m_i = p_U(a_i)$ , with i = 1, ..., n, and E be a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then we have the following abelian group  $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -isomorphism:

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}_U}(\Omega_U, E_U) \rightarrow Ker_{E_U}(\widehat{M_U}), f_U \rightarrow e_U$$

where  $\widehat{M_U} \in End_{\mathcal{A}_U}(E_U^n)$  and  $\{e \in E_U^n / Me = 0 \}$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious that, because there is a one to one correspondence between the elements of  $Hom_{\mathcal{A}_U}(\Omega_U, E_U)$  and  $Ker_{E_U}(\widehat{M_U})$ . (Refer also to [19], Theorem 1.1.1.).

#### III. Quadratic functorial operator

Some notions of this section are treated classically in [6] and [8], but in Abstract Differential Geometry in [6], [12] and [14].

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\dot{Q}: DiffT \rightarrow \dot{Q}DiffT \subseteq QDiffT$  be an operator which satisfies the relations [2.26], and [2.27]. Then  $\dot{Q}$  is a quadratic functorial operator.

**Theorem 3.2** The quadratic functorial operator  $\dot{Q}$  is a covariant functor.

**Proof.** Consider  $dT_i$ ,  $dT_j$ ,  $dT_k \in Ob(DT)$  and  $mdT_{ij} \in Hom(dT_i, dT_j)$ ,  $mdT_{ik} \in Hom(dT_i, dT_k)$  and  $mdT_{jk} \in Hom(dT_j, dT_k)$ . If we apply the operator  $\dot{Q}$  on DiffT, we have:

- $(1) \dot{Q}(mdT_{jk} \circ mdT_{ij}) = m\dot{Q}dT_{jk} \circ m\dot{Q}dT_{ij} = \dot{Q}(mdT_{jk}) \circ \dot{Q}(mdT_{ij}),$
- (2)  $\dot{Q}(id_{dT_i}) = id_{\dot{O}dT_i} = id_{\dot{O}(dT_i)}$ .

Remark 3.3 By convenience, we set:

$$\dot{Q}DiffT:=\langle (DiffT, \dot{q}:=\{\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}}, \dot{q}_{\Omega}\})\rangle,$$
 .....[3.1]

where  $\{\dot{q}_{\mathcal{A}},\dot{q}_{\Omega}\}$  satisfies the relations from [2.25] up [2.32].

We construct the quadratic functor operators as follows:

$$\dot{Q}_X: \ DiffT_X \rightarrow \dot{Q}DiffT_X, \ \dot{Q}_{Hom(X,Y)}: DiffT_{Hom(X,Y)} \rightarrow \dot{Q}DiffT_{Hom(X,Y)}, \ \dot{Q}_{Open_X}: \ DiffT_{Open_X} \rightarrow \dot{Q}DiffT_{Open_X}, \ \dot{Q}_{TOP}: \ DiffT_{TOP} \rightarrow \dot{Q}DiffT_{TOP}.$$

Let  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\Omega}$  be the matrices representing the quadratic forms  $q_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $q_{\Omega}$ . Thus, for any  $S = (s^1, s^2, ..., s^n)$  in  $Gl(n, \mathcal{A}_U)$  and  $R = (r^1, r^2, ..., r^m)$  in  $M_m(\Omega_U)$ , with  $U \subseteq X$  open, we have

$$S \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}} \tilde{S} = 0, \qquad R \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{U}}} \tilde{R} = 0$$
 .....[3.2]

where  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  are transposed of S and R, respectively.

**Remark 3.4** If  $(\beta_X, q_{\beta_X})$  is a subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X})$ ; then, using the duality notion, there exists a subspace of  $(\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X})$ , said,  $(\beta_X, q_{\beta_X})^{\perp}$  such that:

$$(\beta_X, q_{\beta_X}) \oplus (\beta_X, q_{\beta_X})^{\perp} = (\mathcal{A}_X, q_{\mathcal{A}_X}) \tag{3.3}$$

and consequently we have the following lemma and theorem, where  $\beta_X^{\perp}$  designs the orthogonal of  $\beta_X$  and we set:

$$(\beta_X, q_{\beta_X})^{\perp} \equiv (\beta_X^{\perp}, q_{\beta_X^{\perp}}) \tag{3.4}$$

**Lemma 3.5** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\Omega^1 = \Omega_1^{-1} \oplus \Omega_2^{-1}$  such that  $dT_1 = (\mathcal{A}_1, d, \Omega_1^{-1})$  and  $dT_2 = (\mathcal{A}_2, d, \Omega_2^{-1})$  are differential triads. Then, there exists a differential triad  $dT = S\Gamma(dT) = (\mathcal{A}, d, \Omega^1)$  such that

**Proof.** The *existence* results to the following decompositions:

Let  $a=a_1+a_2$  and  $s=s_1+s_2$  be decompositions of sections  $a\in\mathcal{A}_U$ , with  $(a_1,a_2)\in\mathcal{A}_{1U}\oplus\mathcal{A}_{2U}$ , and  $s\in\Omega^1_U$ , with  $(s_1,s_2)\in\Omega_1^1_U\oplus\Omega_2^1_U$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}_U$  and  $\Omega^1_U$  verify respectively, the splitting  $\mathcal{A}_U=\mathcal{A}_{1U}\oplus\mathcal{A}_{2U}$  and  $\Omega^1_U=\Omega_1^1_U\oplus\Omega_2^1_U$ ,  $U\subseteq X$  open. Then, we have the map  $d_U:\mathcal{A}_{1U}\oplus\mathcal{A}_{2U}\to\Omega_1^1_U\oplus\Omega_2^1_U$ , such that  $d_U(a_1+a_2)=d_U(a_1)+d_U(a_2)\equiv s_1+s_2$  and  $d_U(a_1,a_2)=d_U(a_1)$  and  $d_U(a_2)$ . We obtain

$$dT \equiv (\mathcal{A},\,d,\,\Omega^1) = (\mathcal{A}_1 \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_2,\,d_1 +\,d_2,\,\Omega_1^{\ 1} \bigoplus \Omega_2^{\ 1}) = (\mathcal{A}_1\,,\,d\,,\,\Omega_1^{\ 1}) \bigoplus (\mathcal{A}_2\,,\,d\,,\,\Omega_2^{\ 1}) = dT_1 \bigoplus dT_2.$$

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$  and and  $\Omega^1 = \Omega_1^1 \oplus \Omega_2^1$  such that  $qdT_1 = ((\mathcal{A}_1, q_{\mathcal{A}_1}), d, (\Omega_1^1, q_{\Omega_1^1}))$  and  $qdT_2 = ((\mathcal{A}_2, q_{\mathcal{A}_2}), d, (\Omega_2^1, q_{\Omega_2^1}))$  be two differential triads. Then, there exists a differential triad  $qdT = ((\mathcal{A}, q_{\mathcal{A}}), d, (\Omega^1, q_{\Omega^1}))$  such that:

$$qdT = qdT_1 \oplus qdT_2 \qquad \qquad \dots [3.6]$$

**Proof.** The existence results to the decompositions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega^1$  in direct sums. Also, using *Lemma* 3.5 and the definition of a quadratic differential triad, we have, for all  $U \subseteq X$  open,

 $qdT_U = qdT_{1U} \oplus qdT_2U.$ 

**Remarks 3.7** -Using the relation [2.28] and Theorem 3.6, we observe that  $q_{\Omega_1^{-1}}$  o  $d_1 = q_{\mathcal{A}_1}$  and  $q_{\Omega_2^{-1}}$  o  $d_2 = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}$  imply that  $q_{\Omega_1^{-1}}$  o  $d = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ .

-Let  $H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij} = Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j)$  and  $H_{\Omega^1}^{ij} = Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega_i^1, \Omega_j^1)$  be two sheaves such that the triplet  $(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}, d^{ij}, H_{\Omega^1}^{ij})$  is a differential triad over X,  $id_X$ , Hom(X, Y),  $Open_X$  or TOP. Then, the quadratic functorial operator  $\dot{Q}$  applies on the differential triad  $dT^{ij} = (H_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}, H_{\Omega^1}^{ij})$  so that this differential triad becomes the quadratic differential triad:

$$\dot{Q}(dT^{ij}) = \dot{q}dT^{ij} \qquad \dots [3.7]$$

### IV. Homology - cohomology-resolutions

Some notions of this section are treated classically in [2-4], [6], [8], [10], [17-22], [26] and [27], in Abstract Algebra in [6] and in Abstract Differential Geometry in [12-14].

**Definition 4.1** A complex of free left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules denoted by

$$\Omega^* \equiv \dots \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} \Omega^i \xrightarrow{d^i} \Omega^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d^{i+1}} \dots$$
 [4.1]

is a sequence of left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphisms  $S\Gamma(d^i)$ :  $S\Gamma(\Omega^i) \to S\Gamma(\Omega^{i+1})$  between left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules which satisfy, for any open U in X,:

$$Im \ d^{i-1}(U) \subseteq Ker \ d^i(U)$$
, i.e.,  $d_U^i \circ d_U^{i-1} = 0_U \equiv 0$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . [4.2]

We set:

$$d^0 = \partial, d^i = d, \text{ for any } i \ge 1,$$
 .....[4.3]

where the symbol d designs the differential  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphism.

If we specify the order of the set  $\Omega = S\Gamma(\Omega)$  of differential forms (sheaf of differential  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules) by setting:

$$\Omega^0 = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Omega^i \equiv (\Omega^1)^i = \Lambda^i \Omega^1,$$
 .....[4.4]

with  $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_A$  be the exterior (the skew symmetric homological tensor) product, for any  $i \geq l$ .

We have, more explicitly:

$$\Omega^1 = \mathcal{A} \wedge \Omega$$
.  $\Omega^2 = \mathcal{A} \wedge \Omega^1 \wedge \Omega^1$ ...

**Definitions 4.2** The defect of exactness of [4.1] is left (respectively right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -module defined by

$$H^{i}(\Omega^{*}) = Ker d^{i}/Im d^{i-1}$$
 .....[4.5]

The complex [4.1] is said to be exactness of [4.1] at  $\Omega^i$  if the left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -module defined by

$$H^{i}(\Omega^{*}) = S\Gamma(H^{i}(\Omega^{*})) = S\Gamma(0) = 0$$

$$(4.6)$$

In other words  $Im\ d^{i-1} = S\Gamma(Im\ d^{i-1}) = S\Gamma(Ker\ d^i) = Ker\ d^i$ .

The complex [4.1] is said to be *exact* if:  $Ker d^i = Im d^{i-1}$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  ......[4.7]

**Definition 4.3** A complex of free left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules denoted by

$$\Omega_* \equiv \dots \xrightarrow{\int_{i+2}} \Omega_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\int_{i+1}} \Omega_i \xrightarrow{\int_i} \dots$$
 [4.8]

is a sequence of left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphisms  $\iint_{i+1} : \Omega_{i+1} \to \Omega_i$  between left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules which satisfy, for any open U in X:

$$Im \int_{i+1} (U) \subseteq Ker \int_{i} (U),$$
 for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\ldots [4.9]$ 

Also, for any  $x \in U$ , with U open in X:

$$\int_{ix} = \lim_{x \in U} \int_{iU} = \lim_{x \in U} (d_U^i)^{-1} = (d_x^i)^{-1}, \text{ for any } i \ge I,$$
 (4.10)

to the nearest constant, where the symbol  $\int$  designs the *integral A-homomorphism*.

The defect of exactness of [4.8] at  $\Omega_i$  is the left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{A}$ -module defined by

$$H_{i}(\Omega_{*}) = S\Gamma(H_{i}(\Omega_{*})) = S\Gamma(Ker \int_{i} / Im \int_{i+1}) = S\Gamma(Ker \int_{i} ) / S\Gamma(Im \int_{i+1}) = Ker \int_{i} / Im \int_{i+1}$$

$$(4.11)$$

The complex [4.8] is said to be *exact* at  $\Omega_i$  if:

$$H_i(\Omega_*) = S\Gamma(H_i(\Omega_*)) = S\Gamma(0) = 0 \qquad [4.12]$$

In other words  $Im \int_{i+1} = S\Gamma(Im \int_{i+1}) = S\Gamma(Ker \int_i) = Ker \int_i$ .

The complex [4.8] is exact iff:

$$Ker \int_i = Im \int_{i+1}$$
, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  .....[4.13]

**Remark 4.4** The complexes [4.1] and [4.8] are represented as follows:

where we set  $\Omega^i = \Omega_i$ , within  $\mathcal{A}$ -isomorphism, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In other words, we have:

$$\int_{i+1} o \ d^i = id_{\Omega^i}$$
 .....[4.14]

**Definitions 4.5** Referring to [4.5] and [4.11], we define  $H^i(\Omega^*)$  and  $H_i(\Omega_*)$  as respectively the  $i^{th}$  cohomology and the  $i^{th}$  homology.

**Remark 4.6** If we replace, in the Remark 4.4,  $\Omega$  by the differential triad dT, then we obtain the isomorphism between the complex of differential triads and complex of integral triads, through the following diagram:

where we set  $dT^i = \int T_i$ , within  $\mathcal{A}$ -isomorphism to the nearest constant, and  $mdT^i$  and  $m\int T_i$  two morphisms, respectively, of differential triads and of integral triads, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $dT = S\Gamma(dT)$ ,  $mdT = S\Gamma(mdT)$ ,  $\int T = S\Gamma(\int T)$  and  $m\int T = S\Gamma(m\int T)$ . We observe that  $dT = (\mathcal{A}, d, \Omega)$  and  $\int T = (\Omega, \int, \mathcal{A})$  are differential triad and integral triad, respectively.

In other words, we have:

$$m \int T_{i+1} o m dT^i = i d_{dT^i}$$
 .....[4.15]

**Definitions 4.7** Referring to [4.5], [4.11] and Definitions 4.5, we define  $H^i(dT^*)$  and  $H_i(\mathcal{T}_*)$  as, respectively, the  $i^{th}$  co homology and the  $i^{th}$  homology of differential and integral triads.

**Definitions 4.8** A finite free resolution of the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\Omega$  is an exact sequence of the form (see [19], Definition 1.2.1, p.13-14)

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\hat{L}_{2X}} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{1 \times r_{1}} \xrightarrow{\hat{L}_{1X}} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{1 \times r_{0}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{X}} \Omega_{x} \to o_{x} , \qquad x \in X$$

where  $\hat{L}_{ix}: \mathcal{A}_x^{1 \times r_i} \to \mathcal{A}_x^{1 \times r_{i-1}}$  is the left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -homomorphism defined as follows:

$$\hat{L}_{ix}(\sigma) = \lim_{x \in U} \hat{L}_{iU}(\sigma) = \lim_{x \in U} \sigma L_{iU} = \sigma L_{ix}, \qquad x \in U \subseteq X$$

$$(4.17)$$

for all  $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{A}_x^{1 \times r_i}$ , with  $L_{ix} \in \mathcal{A}_x^{r_i \times r_{i-1}}$  or  $L_{ix} \in \mathcal{A}_x^{r_i \times r_{i-2}}$ ...

A finite free resolution of the right A-module  $\Omega$  is an exact sequence such that, for any  $x \in U$ , with U in X, we have

$$0 \leftarrow \Omega_x \stackrel{\tau_x}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A}_x^{s_0 \times 1} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{1x}}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A}_x^{s_1 \times 1} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{2x}}{\leftarrow} \dots , \quad x \in X$$

where  $\hat{R}_{ix}$ :  $\mathcal{A}_x^{1\times s_i} \to \mathcal{A}_x^{1\times s_{i-1}}$  is the left  $\mathcal{A}_x$ -homomorphism defined as follows:

$$\widehat{R}_{ix}(\rho) = \lim_{x \in U} \widehat{R}_{iU}(\rho) = \lim_{x \in U} \rho R_{iU} = \rho R_{ix}, \qquad x \in U \subseteq X$$
 [4.19]

for all  $\rho_x \in \mathcal{A}_x^{1 \times s_i}$ , with  $R_{ix} \in \mathcal{A}_x^{s_{i-1} \times s_i}$  or  $R_{ix} \in \mathcal{A}_x^{s_{i-2} \times s_i}$ ...

# V. Applications

V.I. Let us consider the first set of Maxwell equations, said,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t B & + & (\nabla \wedge E) = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot B & = & o \end{cases}$$
 [5.1]

where B(resp. E) designs the magnetic (resp. electric) field, 0(resp. o) designs the zero vector (resp. the zero scalar) and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}[\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3]$  be the polynomial algebra of operators with rational constant coefficients, with  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial_i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ , i=0,1,2,3 such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ .

If  $R_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{4 \times 6}$  is the representation matrix of [5.1], it follows that, for any  $x \in X$ , we have:

$$R_{1x} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_3 & \partial_2 \\ 0 & \partial_t & 0 & \partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_t & -\partial_2 & \partial_1 & 0 \\ \partial_1 & \partial_2 & \partial_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_x$$

Let  $\Omega = \mathcal{A}^{1 \times 6} / \mathcal{A}^{1 \times 4} R_1$  be the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module finitely represented by  $R_1$  (see Theorem 2.17). Using Definition 4.8 and [5.1], we observe that the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\Omega$  admits, for any  $x \in X$ , the following free resolution:

$$o_x \to \mathcal{A}_x \xrightarrow{\hat{L}_{2X}} \mathcal{A}_x^{1\times 4} \xrightarrow{\hat{L}_{1X}} \mathcal{A}_x^{1\times 6} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \Omega_x \to o_x,$$
 [5.2]

where the matrix  $R_{2x} = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, -\partial_t)_x \in \mathcal{A}_x^{1\times 4}$  defines the compatibility conditions

$$(\nabla \cdot J - \partial_t I)_x = o_x \qquad \qquad [5.3]$$

of the inhomogeneous linear system

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t B + (\nabla \wedge E))_x = J_x \\ (\nabla \cdot B)_x = \rho_x \end{cases}$$
 [5.4]

where B, E, I are vectors and  $\rho$  is a scalar. In this case, I is the density of current and  $\rho$  is the charge.

If  $S = S\Gamma(S)$  is a open convex subsheaf of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^4$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is real the underlying of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the space  $C^{\infty}(S)$  of smooth functions on S is an injective  $\Omega = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}[\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4]$ -module. Using the formula [5.4], we can easily check, through the parameterization, that, for any  $x \in X$ , we can set:

$$\begin{cases}
B_{\mathbf{x}} = (\nabla \wedge A)_{\mathbf{x}} \\
E_{\mathbf{x}} = (-\partial_t A - \nabla v)_{\mathbf{x}},
\end{cases}$$
.....[5.5]

where  $A \in F^3$  and  $v \in F$ , with F be an injective  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}[\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4]$ -module  $C^{\infty}(\$)$ . We observe that (A, v) is the quadric-potential of [5.1] or [5.4], i.e.,  $\hat{R}_1 = R_0 F^4$  in the exact sequence:

$$0_{\mathbf{x}} \leftarrow F_{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{3x}}{\leftarrow} F_{\mathbf{x}}^{3} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{2x}}{\leftarrow} F_{\mathbf{x}}^{2} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{1x}}{\leftarrow} F_{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{\hat{R}_{0x}}{\leftarrow} Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{D}_{x}}}(\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}, F_{\mathbf{x}}) \leftarrow 0_{\mathbf{x}}.$$
 [5.6]

Note that the quadric-potential (A, v) is not uniquely defined since the right-hand side of [5.5] is parameterized, for example, by:

$$\begin{cases}
A_{\mathbf{x}} = (-\nabla \varepsilon)_{\mathbf{x}} \\
v_{\mathbf{y}} = (\partial_t \varepsilon)_{\mathbf{y}},
\end{cases}$$
.....[5.7]

in other words,

$$Ker_{F}(\hat{R}_{0}) = R_{-1}F$$
.

Consequently, for any  $\varepsilon \in F$ , the gauge transformations  $A \to A - \nabla \varepsilon$ ,  $v \to v + \partial_t \varepsilon$  (See [12] and [19]) give the same fields E and B.

# V.II. Consider the electromagnetism Lagrangian functional, given by:

$$\iint \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_0 ||E|| - \frac{1}{\mu_0} ||B||) dt dy_1 dy_2 dy_3,$$
 [5.8]

where  $\epsilon_0(resp.\,\mu_0)$  is the dielectric constant(resp. the magnetic constant), under the differential constraint formed by the first set of Maxwell's equations [5.1]. By varying the Lagrangian functional, we introduce, for any  $x \in X$ , the maps

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}: F_{\mathbf{x}}^{6} \to F_{\mathbf{x}}^{6}, {B \choose E}_{\mathbf{x}} \to {-\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}B \choose \epsilon_{0}E}_{\mathbf{x}}$$
 .....[5.9]

and

$$(\tau \circ \sigma)_{\mathbf{x}} \colon F_{\mathbf{x}}^{6} \to F_{\mathbf{x}}^{4}, (B, E)_{\mathbf{x}} \to \begin{cases} \partial_{t} B + (\nabla \wedge E))_{\mathbf{x}} = 0_{\mathbf{x}} \\ (\nabla \cdot B)_{\mathbf{x}} = 0_{\mathbf{x}} \end{cases}$$

$$(\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} (\nabla \wedge E) - \epsilon_{0} \partial_{t} E)_{\mathbf{x}} = 0_{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$(\epsilon_{0} \nabla \cdot B)_{\mathbf{x}} = 0_{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$[5.10]$$

which is the complete set of Maxwell equations. Using [4.24], we can present the components of B(resp.E) by :

$$(\frac{1}{c_0^2}\partial_t^2 - \Delta)B_i = 0$$
 (resp.  $(\frac{1}{c_0^2}(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)E_i = 0)$ , .....[5.11]

where  $\Delta = \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2$  is the Laplacian operator and  $c_0^2 = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}$ , i.e. the fields B and E are space-time waves.

Now, consider the polynomial operator  $A: F^4 \to F^4$  obtained by substituting the previous parametrization into the last two equations of [5.5] and by using the relation

$$\nabla \wedge \nabla \wedge A = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \Delta A$$
.

We obtain, for any  $x \in X$ :

$$(A,v) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} - \Delta A + \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right)_x = J_x \\ \left( \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} - \Delta v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \left( \nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right)_x = \rho_x \end{cases}$$
 [5.12]

Then, putting together equations [5.5] and [5.11], and using [1.12], we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} - \Delta A + \nabla (\nabla A + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) = J \\
\epsilon_0 \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} - \Delta v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} (\nabla A + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) = \rho \\
\nabla \wedge A = B \\
-\partial_t A - \nabla v = E
\end{cases}$$
[5.13]

In electromagnetism, by fixing the Lorentz gauge defined by  $\nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0$  the system [5.13] is generally simplified as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} - \Delta A \right) = J \\ \epsilon_0 \left( \frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} - \Delta v \right) = \rho \\ \nabla \wedge A = B \\ -\partial_t A - \nabla v = E \end{cases}$$
 [5.14]

This result shows us that each component of the quadri-potential (A, v) is a space-time wave, where  $(A, v) = \bigcup_{x \in X} (A, v)_x \equiv \sum_{x \in X} (A, v)_x$ .

#### VI. Conclusion and Future Development

In this article, we have constructed categories  $QDT_X$ ,  $QDT_{id_X}$  and  $QDT_{Hom(X,Y)}$  whose objects are quadratic differential triads  $qdT_i = ((\mathcal{A}_i, q_{\mathcal{A}_i}), d_i, (\Omega^1_i, q_{\Omega^1_i}))$  and whose morphisms  $mqdT^{ij} = (h_{\mathcal{A}}^{ij}, d^{ij}, h_{\Omega^1}^{ij})$  satisfy [2.18], respectively, over some topological spaces X,  $id_X$  and Hom(X, Y). We have generalize these concepts over the categories  $Open_X$  and TOP to construct categories denoted by  $QDT_{Open_X}$  and  $QDT_{TOP}$ , or in the particular cases (see Definition 3.1 and Remark 3.3) by  $\dot{Q}DT_{Open_X}$  and  $\dot{Q}DT_{TOP}$ , respectively. We have also introduced the notion of integral triad. The notions of (quadratic) differential triads and (quadratic) integral triads allowed us to analyze respectively the co-homology and homology concepts. We have finished our paper with some physics applications in Electromagnetism. These notions can be applied for future research when dealing with The Poincaré gauge (or radial gauge), Weyl gauge known as temporal gauge or Hamiltonian gauge, axial gauge and gauge fixing.

To conclude, one should note that many aspects of this peculiar and techniques still remain to be explored. For instance, it would be interesting to study:

- The 2-Minkowsky differential triads that we defined as an image of the 2-real Euclidian differential triad through a functorial morphism.
- The *Clifford* connection triad algebras with physics applications.

#### VII. REFERENCES

- [1] Ablamowich, R., G. Sobczyk, Lectures on Clifford (Geometric) Algebras and Applications. Birkhauser, Berlin, 2004.
- [2] Barakat, M. D.Robert, A meta-package for homological algebra, J. Algebra Appl, 7(2008), 299-317. Homcalg project.
- [3] Boudelliona, M.S. A.Quadrac, Serre's reduction of linear functional systems INRIA re port 7214, to appear in math coumput. Sci. 5, 169-177. 2010.
- [4] Cluzean, I. A.Quadrat, A Homological package for factoring and decomposition linear functional systems. Springer, 2009, 179-196.
- [5] Cortella, A. Algèbre de Clifford, Journal of Algebra 314, p.252-266, 2007.
- [6] Godermont, R. Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux, Harmann, Paris, 2002.
- [7] Gonzato, M. Les algèbres de Clifford sur R et G, France, 2005.
- [8] Herich, H. G. Stecker, Category theory, Boston, 1973.
- [9] Kashiwara, M. P. Schapira Categories and sheaves, Spinger, 2006.
- [10] Lam; T.Y. Problem on projective modules, Springer monograph in Mathematics, Springer Verlag. 2006. 47 pages.
- [11] Mallios, A. Geometry of Vector sheaves. An axiomatic approach to Differential Geometric. Examples and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, 1998.
- [12] Mallios, A. Geometry of Vector sheaves. An axiomatic approach to Differential Geometric, Volume I: Vector sheaves, General Theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, 1998.
- [13] Mallios, A. Geometry and Physics today, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 45 (2006), 1557-1593.
- [14] Papatrianfilou, M. H. The Category of Differential Triads, Proceedings of, the 4th Panhellenic Conference on Geometry (Patras, 1999). Bull. Greek Math. Soc. 44 (2000), 129–141.
- [15] Petric, T. J. Randal, Convections, Definite Forms and Four-Manifolds, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [16] Pierce, R. S. Associative Algebras, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1982.
- [17] Ping, H. D.Y. Yang, Vanishing Theorems on complete manifolds with weighted Poincaré inequality and Applications, *Nagoya Math, J.* 2006 (2012), 25-37.
- [18] Quadrat, A. System and structures: an algebraic analysis approach to mathematical system theory. 2010, 185 pages.
- [19] Quadrat, A. An introduction to constructive algebraic analysis and its applications. 7354 july 2010, INRIA, 237 pages.
- [20] Rotman, J.J. An introduction to homological algebraic. Springer; 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. 87 pages, 2009.
- [21] Schapira, P. Algebra and Topology, http://www.math.jussien.fr/shapira/lectnotes, 1/9/2011.
- [22] Vassillou, E. Geometry of Principal sheaves, Dordrecht, Springer, 2005.
- [23] Vinberg, E. B. A Course in Algebra, American Mathematical Society, USA, 2003.
- [24] Yokonuma, T. Tensor Spaces and Exterior Algebra, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975.

\*\*\*\*\*